

Potential of Oregon Croplands to Sequester Carbon and Reduce GHG Emissions

Jennifer M. Moore, Ph.D. Oregon Global Warming Commission Meeting, 16 April 2021

Sound Management of our Soils Can Help Mitigate Climate Change

- Increase plant productivity throughout the year and protecting the soil increases C sequestered.
- How much and how quickly is dependent on soil type, climate, management, topography, etc.
- Co-benefits include positive impacts on air & water quality (& quantity) & overall resilience.

Soils store 4x more carbon than the vegetation on land

Protect what we have and build what we have lost

 Regenerative agriculture can help restore this lost carbon.

Carbon Reduction Potential Evaluation (CaRPE) Tool

2

CaRPE Tool[™]

≡ <u>Carbon Reduction Potential Evaluation (CaRPE) Tool</u>



Carbon Deduction Detertial Evolution (CoDE

 <u>Carbon Reduction Potential Evaluation (CaRPE) Tool</u>
 Jennifer Moore¹, Daniel Manter², Tabitha Brown¹
 ¹American Farmland Trust; ²USDA-Agricultural Research Service

In order to evaluate the current and projected GHG mitigation potential we developed the interactive Carbon Reduction Potential Evaluation (CaRPE) TooITM to quant and visualize county-level GHG emission reductions resulting from the implementation of a suite of cropiand and grazing land management practices. The CaRPE TooITM scales the emission reduction coefficients (ERC) extracted from the COMET-Planner tool to the county level by coupling the coefficients with acreages from th USDA Census of Agriculture (AgCensus).



Version 2.03; Created: July 23, 2019; Updated: September 21, 2020 ©American Farmland Trust, 2019



Couples COMET-Planner with AgCensus Data

Quantify adoption & emission reduction potential



https://carpe.shinyapps.io/CarpeTool/

²⁰¹⁷ No-Till, Reduced Till, & Cover Crop Adoption



Theoretical Maximum CO₂e Reduction Potential (Tonnes per year)



Theoretical Maximum CO₂e Reduction Potential (Tonnes per year)



Row crop scenario with 7 conservation practices on a total of 1.2 million acres

Practice	Scenario acres	% of Selected Acres	CO ₂ e (tonnes y ⁻¹)
No-till	498,587	50	107,598
Conservation crop rotation	199,435	20	48,627
Mulching	99,717	10	26,220
Cover crop (25:75) ¹	249,294	25	12,166
Stripcropping	49,859	5	9,738
Dairy manure ²	99,717	10	9,288
Compost 20:1	49,859	5	8,995
Sum	1,246,468	N/A	222,633

Total is equivalent to C sequestered by 3.7 million tree seedlings grown for 10y or to removing over 48,000 cars from the road for 1 year

Restoration Practices & Converting Marginal Cropland to Woody or Herbaceous Cover (Tonnes per acre per year)



Ecological and Economic Benefits from Cover Cropping and Nutrient Management: IL Corn-Soy Case Study (825 ac)



Soil Health Case Study Jim, Julie, and Josh Ifft, Ifft Yorkshires, IL

Introduction

Jim Ifft started farming in 1975 and currently farms with his wife, Julie, and son, Josh. The family grows corn and soybeans on 1,800 acres in northcentral Illinois, leasing over 1,600 them. They use soil health practices on all the acres, rented and owned.

Jim has always had an interest in conservation and employs an adaptive management approach Jim wanted to diversify his corn-soybean rotation as part of this approach and knew he was on the right track when he discovered cover crops He started by planting cereal rye after his corn harvest on 80 acres in 2014, and now does so on 825 acres. Jim and Josh were so pleased with the wer crops, they started their own cover crop seed dealership providing custom seed drilling services for surrounding farmers

Jim credits cover crops for helping them try notill corn. Although the Iffts transitioned to no-till on their soybean fields in the early 1990s, they continued with a vertical-tillage pass each fall and spring for their corn until 2018, when they planted no-till on corn for the first time. Jim said, "We wouldn't have attempted no-tilling corn if not for the improved soil structure we've noticed from our use of cover crops." Jim adds that, "Cover crops are the key to reducing our inputs." The Iffts have reduced their herbicide inputs because of ample weed control provided by the cereal rye. Jim notes his first attempts at covers in 2014 weren't very successful, but he continued to seek advice from oducers using covers successfully and kept at it.

The Iffts have also applied their adaptive approach to nutrient management, having switched to variable rate technology (VRT) application of phosphorus (P) and potassium (K) in 2010. The Iffts haven't applied any nitrogen (N) in the fall for decades, but they have recently adapted their



planting in addition to a subsequent side-dress application, thus ensuring the N is available when the plant needs it.

Soil Health, Economic, Water **Quality, and Climate Benefits**

Partial budgeting was used to analyze the marginal benefits and costs of adopting c crops and nutrient management on the lift Farm. The study was limited to only those incom and cost variables affected by the adoption of these practices. The table on page two presents a summary of these economic effects, revealing that due to the two soil health practices, Jim's net income increased by \$22 per acre per year or by \$35,685 annually on the 1,650-acre study area, achieving a 123% return on investment

The study area is restricted to where Jim has planted covers the longest thereby providing an accurate picture of the soil health practices he has successfully integrated into his operation. Additionally, although Jim's use of covers has allowed him to switch to no-till corn, we did not

> ma Alasta an Farmland Trust







- **Cover cropping and VRT** estimated to reduce N, P, & sediment losses by 23, 33, and 37%, respectively (NTT on 80-ac parcel)
- 35% reduction in total CO₂e emissions (COMET-Farm on 80-ac parcel)
- 20% lower P & K applications from VRT resulted in savings of \$20 ac⁻¹
- Cover cropping promoted weed control \rightarrow herbicide savings nearly \$15 ac⁻¹
- 5 bu ac⁻¹ yield increase in soybean attributed to cover crops

https://farmlandinfo.org/publications/soil-health-case-studies/

Summary

- Estimates provided are modeled values
- Oregon's diverse cropping systems, soils, and climate offer numerous opportunities but also warrant additional research to fill gaps
 - Soils have a maximum amount of C they can sequester
 - Quantifying current emissions from ag remains a major hurdle
- Investing in resilient agricultural systems not only helps combat climate change but also provides numerous environmental, economic, and societal co-benefits.

Thank You



541-738-4180 (office)

